

AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE QUOT SCHEMES ASSOCIATED TO COMPACT RIEMANN SURFACES

INDRANIL BISWAS, AJNEET DHILLON, AND JACQUES HURTUBISE

ABSTRACT. Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus at least two. Fix positive integers r and d . Let $\mathcal{Q}$ denote the Quot scheme that parametrizes the torsion quotients of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$ of degree d . This $\mathcal{Q}$ is also the moduli space of vortices for the standard action of $U(r)$ on $\mathbb{C}^r$. The group $\mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathcal{Q}$ via the action of $\mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$. We prove that this subgroup $\mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ is the connected component, containing the identity element, of the holomorphic automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})$. As an application of it, we prove that the isomorphism class of the complex manifold $\mathcal{Q}$ uniquely determines the isomorphism class of the Riemann surface X .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus g , with $g \geq 2$. Fix positive integers r and d . Let $\mathcal{Q} := \mathrm{Quot}_X(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, d)$ be the Quot scheme that parametrizes the torsion quotients

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$$

such that $\mathrm{degree}(\mathcal{Q}) = d$. It is a smooth complex projective manifold of dimension rd . Consider the standard action of $U(r)$ on $\mathbb{C}^r$. The corresponding vortices are pairs of the form (E, ϕ) , where E is a holomorphic vector bundle on X of rank r and

$$\phi : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow E$$

is a holomorphic homomorphism such that the subsheaf $\mathrm{image}(\phi) \subset E$ is of rank r , equivalently, $E/\mathrm{image}(\phi)$ is a torsion sheaf [BDW]. Therefore, the dual homomorphism

$$\phi^* : E^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$$

defines an element of $\mathcal{Q}$ if $\mathrm{degree}(E) = d$. Consequently, $\mathcal{Q}$ is a moduli space of vortices on X .

Our aim here is to investigate the geometry of the variety $\mathcal{Q}$. Let $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathcal{Q})$ denote the connected component, containing the identity element, of the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{Q}$. The natural action of $\mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$ produces an action of $\mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{Q}$. let

$$F : \mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathcal{Q})$$

be the homomorphism given by this action.

We prove the following (see Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2):

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H60, 14J50.

Key words and phrases. Quot scheme, vortex moduli, vector field, automorphism, Torelli.

Theorem 1.1. *The homomorphism F is an isomorphism. In particular, the homomorphism of Lie algebras*

$$sl(r, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{Q}, T\mathcal{Q})$$

given by F is an isomorphism.

Theorem 3.1 allows us to investigate the fixed point locus in $\mathcal{Q}$ for the action of a maximal torus of $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{Q})$. As a consequence, we obtain the following Torelli type theorem (see Theorem 5.1):

Theorem 1.2. *If $g = 2 = d$, assume that $r \geq 2$. Then the isomorphism class of the complex manifold $\mathcal{Q}$ uniquely determines the isomorphism class of the Riemann surface X .*

The proof of Theorem 1.2 also uses a Torelli type theorem for $\text{Sym}^d(X)$ proved in [Fa].

Take a holomorphic line bundle L on X . Let $\mathcal{Q}(L^{\oplus r}, d)$ be the Quot scheme parametrizing torsion quotients of $L^{\oplus r}$ of dimension d . Then the variety $\mathcal{Q}(L^{\oplus r}, d)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}$. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 remain valid for $\mathcal{Q}(L^{\oplus r}, d)$.

2. SELF-PRODUCT, MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS AND MEROMORPHIC VECTOR FIELDS

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus g , with $g \geq 2$. The Cartesian product $X \times X$ will be denoted by X^2 . Let $\Delta \subset X^2$ be the diagonal consisting of points of the form (x, x) with $x \in X$. For $\ell = 1, 2$, let

$$p_\ell : X^2 \longrightarrow X$$

be the projection to the ℓ -th factor. The holomorphic tangent bundle of X will be denoted by TX .

Lemma 2.1. *For any $i \geq 0$,*

$$H^0(X^2, \mathcal{O}_{X^2}(i \cdot \Delta)) = H^0(X^2, \mathcal{O}_{X^2}) = \mathbb{C}.$$

For any $i \geq 0$,

$$H^0(X^2, (p_1^*TX)^{\otimes \alpha_1} \otimes (p_2^*TX)^{\otimes \alpha_2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^2}(i \cdot \Delta)) = 0,$$

where α_1 and α_2 are nonnegative integers with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 0$.

Proof. Let $\iota : X \longrightarrow X^2$ be the map defined by $x \longmapsto (x, x)$. It identifies X with Δ . From the Poincaré adjunction formula we know that $\iota^*(\mathcal{O}_{X^2}(\Delta)|_\Delta) = TX$; see [GH, p. 146] for Poincaré adjunction formula.

For any $i \geq 0$, consider the short exact sequence of coherent analytic sheaves on X^2

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^2}(i \cdot \Delta) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^2}((i+1) \cdot \Delta) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^2}((i+1) \cdot \Delta)|_\Delta = \iota_*((TX)^{\otimes(i+1)}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let

$$H^0(X^2, \mathcal{O}_{X^2}(i \cdot \Delta)) \longrightarrow H^0(X^2, \mathcal{O}_{X^2}((i+1) \cdot \Delta)) \longrightarrow H^0(X, (TX)^{\otimes(i+1)})$$

be the corresponding long exact sequence of cohomologies. We have

$$H^0(X, (TX)^{\otimes(i+1)}) = 0$$

because $g \geq 2$. Hence the above homomorphism

$$H^0(X^2, \mathcal{O}_{X^2}(i \cdot \Delta)) \longrightarrow H^0(X^2, \mathcal{O}_{X^2}((i+1) \cdot \Delta))$$

is an isomorphism. Now using downward induction on i ,

$$H^0(X^2, \mathcal{O}_{X^2}(i \cdot \Delta)) = H^0(X^2, \mathcal{O}_{X^2}) = \mathbb{C}.$$

This proves the first part of the lemma.

To prove the second part of the lemma, consider the short exact sequence of coherent analytic sheaves on X^2

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow (p_1^*TX)^{\otimes\alpha_1} \otimes (p_2^*TX)^{\otimes\alpha_2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^2}(i \cdot \Delta) &\longrightarrow (p_1^*TX)^{\otimes\alpha_1} \otimes (p_2^*TX)^{\otimes\alpha_2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^2}((i+1) \cdot \Delta) \\ &\longrightarrow \iota_*((TX)^{\otimes(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+i+1)}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

obtained by tensoring (1) with $(p_1^*TX)^{\otimes\alpha_1} \otimes (p_2^*TX)^{\otimes\alpha_2}$. Since $H^0(X, (TX)^{\otimes m}) = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$, using downward induction on i , we have

$$H^0(X^2, (p_1^*TX)^{\otimes\alpha_1} \otimes (p_2^*TX)^{\otimes\alpha_2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^2}(i \cdot \Delta)) = H^0(X^2, (p_1^*TX)^{\otimes\alpha_1} \otimes (p_2^*TX)^{\otimes\alpha_2}) = 0.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Take any integer $n \geq 1$. Let

$$X^n := \overbrace{X \times \cdots \times X}^{n\text{-times}}$$

be the n -fold Cartesian product. The projection of X^n to the ℓ -th factor, $1 \leq \ell \leq n$, will be denoted by p_ℓ . For $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, let

$$(2) \quad \Delta_{j,k} \subset X^n$$

be the divisor consisting of points whose of X^n j -th coordinate coincides with the k -th coordinate.

Take integers $m_{j,k} \geq 0$, where $1 \leq j < k \leq n$. Fix a pair (j_0, k_0) , with $1 \leq j_0 < k_0 \leq n$. Define $m'_{j,k}$, $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, as follows:

- $m'_{j_0, k_0} = m_{j_0, k_0} + 1$, and
- $m'_{j,k} = m_{j,k}$ if $(j, k) \neq (j_0, k_0)$.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $i \geq 0$, the natural inclusion*

$$H^0(X^n, \mathcal{O}_{X^n}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} m_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k})) \hookrightarrow H^0(X^n, \mathcal{O}_{X^n}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} m'_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k}))$$

is an isomorphism.

For nonnegative integers α_ℓ , $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, with $\sum_{\ell=1}^n \alpha_\ell > 0$, the natural inclusion

$$H^0(X^n, (\bigotimes_{\ell=1}^n (p_\ell^*TX)^{\otimes\alpha_\ell}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^n}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} m_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k}))$$

$$\hookrightarrow H^0(X^n, (\bigotimes_{\ell=1}^n (p_\ell^* TX)^{\otimes \alpha_\ell}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^n}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} m'_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k}))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. If $n = 1$, then $\Delta_{j,k}$ are the zero divisors. Hence the lemma holds for $n = 1$ as $g \geq 2$. If $n = 2$, then it reduces to Lemma 2.1. We will prove the lemma using induction on n .

Assume that the lemma is proved for all $n \leq a-1$. For any $\ell \in \{1, \dots, a-1\}$, let

$$(3) \quad q_\ell : X^{a-1} \longrightarrow X$$

be the projection to the ℓ -th factor. Fix nonnegative integers $n_{j,k}$ for every $1 \leq j < k \leq a-1$. Since the first statement of the lemma holds for $n = a-1$, we conclude that

$$(4) \quad H^0(X^{a-1}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{a-1}}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq a-1} n_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k})) = \mathbb{C},$$

where $\Delta_{j,k} \subset X^{a-1}$ is the divisor defined in (2). Since the second statement of the lemma holds for $n = a-1$, we conclude that

$$(5) \quad H^0(X^{a-1}, (\bigotimes_{\ell=1}^{a-1} (q_\ell^* TX)^{\otimes \alpha_\ell}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{a-1}}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq a-1} n_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k})) = 0,$$

where α_ℓ are nonnegative integers with $\sum_{\ell=1}^{a-1} \alpha_\ell > 0$.

We will prove the lemma for $n = a$.

Take (j_0, k_0) , $\{m_{j,k}\}$ and $\{m'_{j,k}\}$ as in the lemma. Let

$$\iota : X^{a-1} \longrightarrow X^a = X^n$$

be the map that sends $(x_1, \dots, x_{a-1})$ to $(y_1, \dots, y_a)$ such that

- $y_c = x_c$ if $c \leq k_0 - 1$,
- $y_{k_0} = x_{j_0}$, and
- $y_c = x_{c-1}$ if $c > k_0$.

So ι identifies X^{a-1} with Δ_{j_0, k_0} . The Poincaré adjunction formula says that

$$(6) \quad \iota^*(\mathcal{O}_{X^a}(\Delta_{j_0, k_0})|_{\Delta_{j_0, k_0}}) = (q_{j_0})^* TX,$$

where q_{j_0} is defined in (3). For any $(j, k) \neq (j_0, k_0)$, let

$$(7) \quad \Delta'_{j,k} := \iota^{-1}(\Delta_{j,k} \cap \Delta_{j_0, k_0}) \subset X^{a-1}$$

be the diagonal divisor.

Consider the short exact sequence of coherent analytic sheaves on X^a

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^a}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq a} m_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^a}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq a} m'_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{X^a}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq a} m'_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k}))|_{\Delta_{j_0, k_0}} \\ &= \iota_*((q_{j_0})^*(TX)^{\otimes m'_{j_0, k_0}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{a-1}}(\sum_{(j,k) \neq (j_0, k_0)} m'_{j,k} \cdot \Delta'_{j,k})) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta'_{j,k}$ is defined in (7) and q_{j_0} is defined in (3); the identification

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{X^a}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq a} m'_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k}) &\longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{X^a}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq a} m'_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k}))|_{\Delta_{j_0,k_0}} \\ &= \iota_*((q_{j_0})^*(TX)^{\otimes m'_{j_0,k_0}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{a-1}}(\sum_{(j,k) \neq (j_0,k_0)} m'_{j,k} \cdot \Delta'_{j,k})) \end{aligned}$$

in (8) is constructed using the isomorphism in (6). From (5) we know that

$$H^0(X^{a-1}, (p'_{j_0})^*(TX)^{\otimes m'_{j_0,k_0}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{a-1}}(\sum_{(j,k) \neq (j_0,k_0)} m'_{j,k} \cdot \Delta'_{j,k})) = 0.$$

Therefore, from the long exact sequence of cohomologies associated to the short exact sequence in (8) we conclude that

$$H^0(X^a, \mathcal{O}_{X^a}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq a} m_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k})) = H^0(X^a, \mathcal{O}_{X^a}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq a} m'_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k})).$$

This proves the first statement of the lemma for $n = a$. Therefore, the proof of the first statement of the lemma is complete by induction on n .

We will now prove the second statement. Take $\{\alpha_\ell\}$ as in the second statement of the lemma. For $\ell \in \{1, \dots, a-1\}$, define α'_ℓ as follows:

- $\alpha'_\ell = \alpha_\ell$ if $\ell < j_0$,
- $\alpha'_{j_0} = \alpha_{j_0} + \alpha_{k_0}$,
- $\alpha'_\ell = \alpha_\ell$ if $j_0 < \ell < k_0$, and
- $\alpha'_\ell = \alpha_{\ell+1}$ if $\ell \geq k_0$.

Note that $\sum_{\ell=1}^a \alpha_\ell = \sum_{\ell=1}^{a-1} \alpha'_\ell$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow (\bigotimes_{\ell=1}^a (p_\ell^* TX)^{\otimes \alpha_\ell}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^a}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq a} m_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k}) \longrightarrow (\bigotimes_{\ell=1}^a (p_\ell^* TX)^{\otimes \alpha_\ell}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^a}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq a} m'_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k}) \\ &\longrightarrow \iota_*((\bigotimes_{\ell=1}^{a-1} (q_\ell^* TX)^{\otimes \alpha'_\ell}) \otimes (q_{j_0})^*(TX)^{\otimes m'_{j_0,k_0}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{a-1}}(\sum_{(j,k) \neq (j_0,k_0)} m'_{j,k} \cdot \Delta'_{j,k})) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

be the short exact sequence of coherent analytic sheaves on X^a obtained by tensoring (8) with $\bigotimes_{\ell=1}^a (p_\ell^* TX)^{\otimes \alpha_\ell}$. From (5) we know that

$$H^0(X^{a-1}, (\bigotimes_{\ell=1}^{a-1} (q_\ell^* TX)^{\otimes \alpha'_\ell}) \otimes (q_{j_0})^*(TX)^{\otimes m'_{j_0,k_0}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{a-1}}(\sum_{(j,k) \neq (j_0,k_0)} m'_{j,k} \cdot \Delta'_{j,k})) = 0.$$

Therefore, from the long exact sequence of cohomologies associated to the above short exact sequence of sheaves we conclude that the second statement of the lemma holds for $n = a$. This completes the proof by induction on n . $\square$

Proposition 2.3. *For any $n \geq 1$ and nonnegative integers $m_{j,k}$, $1 \leq j < k \leq n$,*

$$H^0(X^n, TX^n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^n}(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} m_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k})) = 0,$$

where TX^n is the holomorphic tangent bundle of X^n .

Proof. Since

$$TX^n = \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^n p_\ell^* TX,$$

where p_ℓ is the projection of X^n to the ℓ -th factor, the proposition follows from the second statement in Lemma 2.2. $\square$

3. VECTOR FIELDS ON THE QUOT SCHEME

Let $E^0 := \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$ be the trivial holomorphic vector bundle over X of rank r . Fix a positive integer d . Let

$$\mathcal{Q} := \text{Quot}_X(E^0, d)$$

be the Quot scheme that parametrizes the torsion quotients of E^0 of dimension d . So each point of $\mathcal{Q}$ represents a quotient

$$(9) \quad \varphi : E^0 \twoheadrightarrow Q$$

of the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module E^0 such that

- Q is a torsion $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module, and
- $\dim H^0(X, Q) = d$.

The obstruction to the smoothness of the variety $\mathcal{Q}$ at the point $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ is given by $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\text{kernel}(\varphi), Q)$ [Be, p. 1, Theorem 2]. For any φ as in (9), since $\text{kernel}(\varphi)$ is locally free, and the dimension of the support of Q is zero. we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\text{kernel}(\varphi), Q) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{Q}$ is an irreducible smooth complex projective variety. Its dimension is rd .

Consider the tautological action of the group $\text{Aut}(E^0) = \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ on E^0 . It produces an effective action of $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{Q}$

$$(10) \quad \text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}.$$

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(r, \mathbb{C})$ (the space of $r \times r$ complex matrices of trace zero) of $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$. Let

$$(11) \quad \gamma : \mathfrak{sl}(r, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{Q}, T\mathcal{Q})$$

be the homomorphism of Lie algebras given by the action of $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{Q}$ in (10). (The Lie algebra structure of $H^0(\mathcal{Q}, T\mathcal{Q})$ is given by Lie bracket of vector fields.)

Theorem 3.1. *The homomorphism γ in (11) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The homomorphism γ is injective because the homomorphism from $\mathfrak{sl}(r, \mathbb{C})$ to the space of holomorphic vector fields on $\mathbb{CP}^{r-1}$, given by the standard action of $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{CP}^{r-1}$, is injective.

Let $\text{Sym}^d(X)$ be the d -fold symmetric product of X . It parametrizes the effective divisors on X of degree d . Let

$$U \subset \text{Sym}^d(X)$$

be the Zariski open subset parametrizing distinct d points; so U parametrizes the reduced effective divisors of degree d . The group of permutations of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ will be denoted by S_d . Let

$$(12) \quad f : \tilde{U} := X^d \setminus \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq j < k \leq d} \Delta_{j,k} \right) \longrightarrow U$$

be natural projection, where $\Delta_{j,k}$ is defined in (2). We note that f is an étale Galois covering with Galois group S_d .

Sending any quotient $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ of E^0 to the scheme-theoretic support of Q , we obtain a morphism

$$(13) \quad \tilde{\beta} : \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^d(X).$$

Define

$$\mathcal{U} := \tilde{\beta}^{-1}(U) \subset \mathcal{Q}.$$

The restriction of $\tilde{\beta}$ to $\mathcal{U}$ will be denoted by β . We note that

$$(14) \quad \beta : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow U$$

is a smooth proper surjective morphism. The fiber of β over any $z \in U$ is the Cartesian product

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{U}_z := \beta^{-1}(z) = \prod_{x \in z} P(E_x^0).$$

So $\mathcal{U}_z$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{CP}^{r-1})^d$.

Take any

$$\theta \in H^0(\mathcal{Q}, T\mathcal{Q}).$$

Let θ_0 be the restriction of θ to $\mathcal{U}$. Let

$$d\beta : T\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \beta^*TU$$

be the differential of the projection β in (14). Since the fibers of β are connected and projective, we conclude that $d\beta(\theta_0)$ is the pullback of a holomorphic vector field on U . Let θ' be the holomorphic vector field on U such that

$$d\beta(\theta_0) = \beta^*\theta'.$$

Let

$$\theta'' := f^*\theta' \in H^0(\tilde{U}, T\tilde{U})$$

be the pullback, where f is the projection in (12). Since θ_0 is the restriction of a holomorphic vector field on $\mathcal{Q}$, it follows that θ'' is meromorphic on X^d , or in other words,

$$\theta'' \in H^0(X^d, TX^d \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^d} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq d} m_{j,k} \cdot \Delta_{j,k} \right))$$

for sufficiently large integers $m_{j,k}$. Therefore, from Proposition 2.3 we conclude that $\theta'' = 0$. Hence

$$d\beta(\theta_0) = 0.$$

In other words, θ_0 is vertical for the projection β .

Let

$$\text{ad}(E^0) \subset \text{End}(E^0) = E^0 \otimes (E^0)^*$$

be the subbundle of rank $r^2 - 1$ defined by the sheaf of endomorphisms of trace zero. For any point $x \in X$, we have

$$H^0(P(E_x^0), TP(E_x^0)) = \text{ad}(E^0)_x = \text{sl}(r, \mathbb{C}).$$

In view of (15),

$$H^0(\mathcal{U}_z, T\mathcal{U}_z) = \bigoplus_{x \in z} \text{ad}(E^0)_x = \bigoplus_{x \in z} \text{sl}(r, \mathbb{C})$$

for all $z \in U$. We will show that the restriction to $\mathcal{U}_z$ of any holomorphic vector field on $\mathcal{Q}$ is a constant diagonal element of $\bigoplus_{x \in z} \text{sl}(r, \mathbb{C})$ which is independent of z .

Let

$$\mathcal{Z} := f^*\mathcal{Q} = \tilde{U} \times_U \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \tilde{U}$$

be the pullback to $\tilde{U}$ of the fiber bundle $\beta : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow U$. The natural projection

$$(16) \quad \phi : \mathcal{Z} := f^*\mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

is an étale Galois covering with Galois group S_d .

As before, let θ_0 be the restriction to $\mathcal{U}$ of a holomorphic vector field on $\mathcal{Q}$. Consider the vector field

$$\theta_1 := \phi^*\theta_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{Z}, T\mathcal{Z})$$

on $\mathcal{Z}$, where ϕ is the projection in (16). We know that θ_1 is vertical for the projection $\mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \tilde{U}$.

Note that the fibers of the projection $\mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \tilde{U}$ are canonically identified with $(\mathbb{CP}^{r-1})^d$. Therefore, the vector field θ_1 is a holomorphic function on $\tilde{U}$ with values in $\text{sl}(r, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus d}$. This holomorphic function is meromorphic on X^d because θ_0 is the restriction to $\mathcal{U}$ of a holomorphic vector field on $\mathcal{Q}$. From the first part of Lemma 2.2 we know that there are no nonconstant meromorphic functions on X^d that are holomorphic on $\tilde{U}$. Hence θ_1 is a constant function from $\tilde{U}$ to $\text{sl}(r, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus d}$. This function $\tilde{U} \longrightarrow \text{sl}(r, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus d}$ has to be invariant under the action of the Galois group S_d on $\tilde{U}$ because θ_1 is the pullback of a vector field on $\mathcal{U}$. Therefore, there is an element

$$v \in \text{sl}(r, \mathbb{C})$$

such that θ_1 is the constant function $(v, \dots, v)$. Since $\mathcal{U}$ is dense in $\mathcal{Q}$, this immediately implies that the injective homomorphism γ in (11) is surjective. $\square$

Let $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})$ be the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{Q}$. It is a complex Lie group with Lie algebra $H^0(\mathcal{Q}, T\mathcal{Q})$; as before, the Lie algebra operation on $H^0(\mathcal{Q}, T\mathcal{Q})$ is given by the Lie bracket of vector fields. The connected component of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})$ containing the

identity element will be denoted by $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{Q})$. The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. *The subgroup $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C}) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})$ in (10) coincides with $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{Q})$.*

4. TORUS ACTION ON THE QUOT SCHEME

Let $T \subset \text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ be the maximal torus consisting of diagonal matrices. Restrict the action of $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{Q}$ in (10) to the subgroup T . Let

$$\mathcal{Q}^T \subset \mathcal{Q}$$

be the subset fixed pointwise by this action of T ; it is a disjoint union of complex submanifolds of $\mathcal{Q}$. We will recall the description of the connected components of $\mathcal{Q}^T$ given in [Bi].

Consider a point of $\mathcal{Q}$ given by an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0.$$

This exact sequence corresponds to a fixed point of the torus action on $\mathcal{Q}$ if and only if the homomorphism ι decomposes as

$$\iota = \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \iota_j : \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{L}_j \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r},$$

where each ι_j is the inclusion of some ideal sheaf

$$\iota_j : \mathcal{L}_j \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

(see [Bi, p. 610]). We may write $\mathcal{L}_j = \mathcal{O}_X(-D_j)$, where D_j is the divisor for ι_j . We have

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \deg(D_j) = d$$

by the definition of $\mathcal{Q}$.

Denote by $\mathbf{Part}_r^d$ the set of partitions of d of length r . So $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r) \in \mathbf{Part}_r^d$ if and only if d_j are nonnegative integers with

$$\sum_{j=1}^r d_j = d.$$

By $\text{Sym}^0(X)$ we will mean a point.

Proposition 4.1. *The fixed point locus is a disjoint union*

$$\mathcal{Q}^T = \coprod_{(d_1, \dots, d_r) \in \mathbf{Part}_r^d} \text{Sym}^{d_1}(X) \times \dots \times \text{Sym}^{d_r}(X).$$

Proof. If $r = 1$, then $\text{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_X, e)$ is the symmetric product $\text{Sym}^e(X)$, as the map in (13) is an isomorphism. If for each $1 \leq i \leq m$,

$$f_i : \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow Q_i$$

is a torsion quotient of $\mathcal{O}_X$ of degree e_i , then

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^m f_i : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus m} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^m Q_i$$

is a torsion quotient of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus m}$ of degree $\sum_{i=1}^m e_i$. Therefore, for each partition

$$(d_1, \dots, d_r) \in \mathbf{Part}_r^d$$

we have an inclusion map

$$\text{Sym}^{d_1}(X) \times \dots \times \text{Sym}^{d_r}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}.$$

It is clear that these subschemes together map onto the fixed point locus of the torus action. Further, the union is clearly disjoint. $\square$

The cohomology algebra of $\text{Sym}^e(X)$ was computed by Macdonald [Ma, p. 325, (6.3)]. In particular, he showed that

$$b_1(\text{Sym}^e(X)) := \dim H^1(\text{Sym}^e(X), \mathbb{Q}) = 2g.$$

Consequently,

$$(17) \quad b_1(\text{Sym}^{d_1}(X) \times \dots \times \text{Sym}^{d_r}(X)) = 2g\left(\sum_{d_i \neq 0} 1\right).$$

Therefore, for elements $(d_1, \dots, d_r) \in \mathbf{Part}_r^d$, the first Betti number $b_1(\text{Sym}^{d_1}(X) \times \dots \times \text{Sym}^{d_r}(X))$ attains the minimum value if and only if some d_i is d and the rest are zero.

Hence Proposition 4.1 has the following corollary:

Corollary 4.2. *Consider the first Betti number of the connected components of $\mathcal{Q}^T$. If the first Betti number of a connected component attains the minimum value, then this component is isomorphic to $\text{Sym}^d(X)$.*

Since any maximal torus of $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ is conjugate to T , Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 remain valid if T is replaced by any other maximal torus of $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$.

5. THE TORELLI THEOREM

As before, $\mathcal{Q} := \text{Quot}_X(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, d)$ is the Quot scheme with $d \geq 1$. If $g = 2 = d$, then we assume that $r > 1$.

Let X' be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus g' , with $g' \geq 2$. Fix positive integers r' and d' . If $g' = 2 = d'$, then we assume that $r' > 1$. Let

$$\mathcal{Q}' := \text{Quot}_{X'}(\mathcal{O}_{X'}^{\oplus r'}, d')$$

be the Quot scheme parametrizing the torsion quotients of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}^{\oplus r'}$ of degree d' .

Theorem 5.1. *The complex manifolds $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{Q}'$ are biholomorphic if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- X is biholomorphic to X' ,
- $r = r'$, and
- $d = d'$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{Q}$ is biholomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}'$. We will show that the three conditions in the theorem hold.

Fix a maximal torus

$$T_0 \subset \text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{Q}),$$

where $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{Q})$, as before, is the connected component the automorphism group of $\mathcal{Q}$ containing the identity element. Consider the action of T_0 on $\mathcal{Q}$. Let β be the minimum value of the first Betti number of the connected components of the fixed point locus $\mathcal{Q}^{T_0}$. take a connected component $M \subset \mathcal{Q}^{T_0}$ such that

$$b_1(M) = \beta.$$

From Corollary 4.2 we know that $M = \text{Sym}^d(X)$.

First assume that at least one of the following two conditions holds:

- (1) $\dim M \neq 2$
- (2) $b_1(M) \neq 4$.

These conditions imply that $g > 2$ if $d = 2$. Fakhruddin proved that for any compact connected Riemann surface Y , and for any positive integer d such that $d \neq 2$ if $\text{genus}(Y) = 2$, the isomorphism class of $\text{Sym}^d(Y)$ uniquely determines the isomorphism class of Y [Fa, Theorem 1]. From this we conclude that X is isomorphic to X' .

Considering the dimension of T_0 we conclude that $r = r'$. Considering the dimension of $\mathcal{Q}$ we conclude that $d = d'$.

Now consider the remaining case where

$$\dim M = 2 = \frac{b_1(M)}{2}.$$

Note that these imply that $g = 2 = d$. Hence $r \geq 2$ by the assumption.

Let $\tilde{\beta}$ is the maximum value of the first Betti number of the connected components of the fixed point locus $\mathcal{Q}^{T_0}$. Let $\tilde{M} \subset \mathcal{Q}^{T_0}$ be a connected component with

$$b_1(\tilde{M}) = \tilde{\beta}.$$

From Proposition 4.1 and (17) we know that $\tilde{M} = X \times X$.

Let X and Y be compact connected Riemann surfaces of genus two such that $X \times X$ is isomorphic to $Y \times Y$. Fixing an isomorphism $F : X \times X \rightarrow Y \times Y$, consider the two maps

$$X \rightarrow Y, \quad x \mapsto f_i \circ F(x, x_0),$$

where f_i is the projection of $Y \times Y$ to the i -th factor. One of them is a nonconstant map, hence it is an isomorphism. Therefore, the isomorphism class of X is uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of $X \times X$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The first author wishes to thank McGill University and University of Western Ontario for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [Be] A. Bertram, Construction of the Hilbert scheme. Deformation theory I, <http://www.math.utah.edu/~bertram/courses/hilbert>.
- [BDW] A. Bertram, G. Daskalopoulos and R. Wentworth, Gromov invariants for holomorphic maps from Riemann surfaces to Grassmannians, *Jour. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 529–571.
- [Bi] E. Bifet, Sur les points fixes schéma $\text{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_X/X,k}$ sous l'action du tore $\mathbf{G}_{m,k}^r$, *Com. Ren. Acad. Sci. Paris* **309** (1989), 609–612.
- [Fa] N. Fakhruddin, Torelli's theorem for high degree symmetric products of curves, arxiv:0208180v1.
- [GH] P. B. Griffiths and J. H. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978.
- [Ma] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric products of an algebraic curve, *Topology* **1** (1962), 319–343.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, BOMBAY 400005, INDIA

E-mail address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIDDLESEX COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ON N6A 5B7, CANADA

E-mail address: `adhill13@uwo.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, BURNSIDE HALL, 805 SHERBROOKE ST. W., MONTREAL, QUE. H3A 0B9, CANADA

E-mail address: `jacques.hurtubise@mcgill.ca`